Multilevel Low Rank Matrices and Applications

Tetiana Parshakova

Ph.D. Dissertation Defense

Stanford

5/15/24

Contributions

- W. Athas, Z. Nadeem, and T. Parshakova. (2022). Interpolation method and apparatus for arithmetic functions. US Patent Application No. 17/085,971.
- 2. **T. Parshakova**, F. Zhang, and S. Boyd. (2023). Implementation of an oracle-structured bundle method for distributed optimization. *Optimization and Engineering*, 1–34. Springer.
- 3. K. Choromanski, A. Sehanobish, H. Lin, Y. Zhao, E. Berger, **T. Parshakova**, et al. (2023). Efficient graph field integrators meet point clouds. In *Proceedings of the ICML*, 5978–6004. PMLR.
- 4. **T. Parshakova**, T. Hastie, E. Darve, and S. Boyd. (2024). Factor fitting, rank allocation, and partition in multilevel low rank matrices. To appear in *Optimization, Discrete Mathematics, and Applications to Data Sciences*. Springer.
- 5. S. Boyd, **T. Parshakova**, E. Ryu, and J. Suh. (2024). Optimization algorithm design via electric circuits. *Submitted*.
- 6. T. Parshakova, T. Hastie, and S. Boyd. (2024). Fitting multilevel factor models. In preparation.
- 7. **T. Parshakova**, T. Marcucci, and S. Boyd. (2024). Approximate distributed routing via low dimensional embedding. *In preparation*.

Contributions

- W. Athas, Z. Nadeem, and T. Parshakova. (2022). Interpolation method and apparatus for arithmetic functions. US Patent Application No. 17/085,971.
- 2. **T. Parshakova**, F. Zhang, and S. Boyd. (2023). Implementation of an oracle-structured bundle method for distributed optimization. *Optimization and Engineering*, 1–34. Springer.
- 3. K. Choromanski, A. Sehanobish, H. Lin, Y. Zhao, E. Berger, **T. Parshakova**, et al. (2023). Efficient graph field integrators meet point clouds. In *Proceedings of the ICML*, 5978–6004. PMLR.
- 4. **T. Parshakova**, T. Hastie, E. Darve, and S. Boyd. (2024). Factor fitting, rank allocation, and partition in multilevel low rank matrices. To appear in *Optimization, Discrete Mathematics, and Applications to Data Sciences*. Springer.
- 5. S. Boyd, **T. Parshakova**, E. Ryu, and J. Suh. (2024). Optimization algorithm design via electric circuits. *Submitted*.
- 6. T. Parshakova, T. Hastie, and S. Boyd. (2024). Fitting multilevel factor models. In preparation.
- 7. **T. Parshakova**, T. Marcucci, and S. Boyd. (2024). Approximate distributed routing via low dimensional embedding. *In preparation*.

Outline

Multilevel low rank matrices

Factor fitting

Rank allocation

Hierarchy fitting

Variations

Conclusions

Multilevel low rank matrices

Low rank data

• in many applications data is organized in a matrix, $A \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times n}$

- user ratings over movies
- gene expressions in cells

 in practice the data is often approximately low rank [Eckart+Young36, Jolliffe02, Candès+Recht09, Udell+16]

$$A_{ij} \approx b_i^T c_j, \qquad b_i, c_j \in \mathbf{R}^r, \qquad r \ll \min\{m, n\}$$

- per-user coefficients and per-movie factors
- per-cell coefficients and per-gene factors

Low rank matrix approximation



▶ find
$$B \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times r}$$
 and $C \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times r}$ such that $A \approx BC^T$

minimize
$$||A - BC^T||_F^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^{m,n} (A_{ij} - b_i^T c_j)^2$$

- **•** storage compression from mn to 2(m+n)r
- interpretable factors
- ▶ solved via the singular value decomposition (SVD), proposed in 1907 [Schmidt07]

Multilevel low rank matrices

Hierarchically structured data

- biology: cells, tissues, organs
- geography: cities, states, countries
- finance: industries, groups, sectors
- healthcare: patients, clinics, regions
- education: students, classrooms, schools



Contiguous multilevel low rank matrices

• an $m \times n$ contiguous multilevel low rank (MLR) matrix A with L levels



groups in partitions are contiguous ranges of row/column indices

Contiguous multilevel low rank matrices

• an $m \times n$ contiguous multilevel low rank (MLR) matrix A with L levels



groups in partitions are contiguous ranges of row/column indices

Factor form

• arrange factors such that $A = \tilde{B}\tilde{C}^T$





Compressed form

$$B^{l} = \begin{bmatrix} B_{l,1} \\ \vdots \\ B_{l,p_{l}} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times r_{l}}, \qquad C^{l} = \begin{bmatrix} C_{l,1} \\ \vdots \\ C_{l,p_{l}} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times r_{l}}$$

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} B^{1} & \cdots & B^{L} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times r}, \qquad C = \begin{bmatrix} C^{1} & \cdots & C^{L} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times r}$$

$$r = r_{1} + \cdots + r_{L} \text{ is the MLR-rank of } A$$



Multilevel low rank matrices

• general $m \times n$ MLR matrix has the form



• $P \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times m}$ is the row permutation matrix

- ▶ $Q \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n}$ is the column permutation matrix
- general hierarchical partition of the row and column index sets

Multilevel low rank matrices

- \blacktriangleright permutations P and Q
- ▶ the number of levels *L*
- ▶ the block dimensions $m_{l,k}$ and $n_{l,k}$, l = 1, ..., L, $k = 1, ..., p_l$
- \blacktriangleright the two matrices *B* and *C*
- $\blacktriangleright \text{ ranks } r_i \text{ s.t. } r_1 + \cdots + r_L = r$

Related work

- Hierarchical matrices
 - H-matrix [Greengard+Rokhlin87, Hackbusch99]
 - \mathcal{H}^2 -matrix [Hackbusch+Borm02, Darve00]
 - hierarchically off-diagonal low-rank (HODLR) [Aminfar+16]
 - hierarchical semiseparable (HSS) matrix [Chandrasekaran+06]
- block low rank matrices [Amestoy+15]
- butterfly matrices [Parker95]
 - Monarch matrices [Dao+22]





Example: Distance matrix

- distance matrix for Venice roadmap
- ▶ n = 5893 nodes and 12098 edges
- L = 14 levels and MLR-rank r = 98
- \blacktriangleright compression ratio 30:1

Method	Error $(\%)$	Storage $(imes 10^5)$
LR	0.72	5.78
LR+D	0.71	5.78
HODLR	2.50	5.79
Monarch	0.87	5.88
MLR	0.37	5.78

Properties of MLR matrices

- matrix-vector multiply in 2(m+n)r flops vs mn in the dense case
- linear system solve
 - ▶ via recursive Sherman-Morrison-Woodbury in $O(nr^2)$ vs $O(n^3)$ in the dense case
 - via direct sparse solver

$$Ax = b \iff \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{C}^T & -I \\ 0 & \tilde{B} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ b \end{bmatrix}$$

- \blacktriangleright k largest eigenvalues, total cost at iteration k
 - Arnoldi iteration with $O(nrk + nk^2)$ vs $O(n^2k + nk^2)$ dense case
 - Lanczos algorithm with O(nrk + nk) vs $O(n^2k + nk)$ dense case

Example: Linear system solve

- solve Ax = b with A positive definite MLR matrix
- ▶ $n = 10^5$
- dense matrix in single precision requires 37Gb
- \blacktriangleright hierarchical partition $p_1 = 1$, $p_2 = 3$, $p_3 = 7$, $p_4 = 16$, $p_5 = 10^5$
- ▶ ranks $r_1 = 30$, $r_2 = 20$, $r_3 = 10$, $r_4 = 5$, $r_5 = 1$
- \blacktriangleright compression ratio 750 : 1



Example: Linear system solve

- direct dense solve using Cholesky
 - \blacktriangleright extrapolated time (from 10s for $10^4 \times 10^4$ matrix) is 2.7h on M2 chip
- recursive SMW
 - solve in 200ms on M2 chip
- MLR solve is $\times 50000$ faster than the dense one

Fitting problems



- how to fit the factors?
- how to allocate ranks across levels?
- how to choose hierarchical partition?

Outline

Multilevel low rank matrices

Factor fitting

Rank allocation

Hierarchy fitting

Variations

Conclusions

Factor fitting

- ▶ fix hierarchical partition and rank allocation
- \blacktriangleright optimize over the factors B and C

Alternating least squares



• recall
$$\hat{A} = \tilde{B}\tilde{C}^T = \hat{A}(B, C)$$
 is bi-linear

▶ an alternating least squares (ALS) algorithm to minimize

$$\|P^T A Q - \hat{A}(B, C)\|_F^2$$

over B, then C, then B, etc

▶ *O*(*mnr*) per iteration (conjugate gradient)

Block coordinate descent



update the factors in one level in each iteration

▶ for level l we choose $B_{l,k}$ and $C_{l,k}$ to minimize

$$\left\| R - \mathbf{blkdiag}(B_{l,1}C_{l,1}^T, \dots, B_{l,p_l}C_{l,p_l}^T) \right\|_F^2$$

where \boldsymbol{R} is the current residual

$$R = P^T A Q - \sum_{j \neq l} \mathbf{blkdiag}(B_{j,1} C_{j,1}^T, \dots, B_{j,p_j} C_{j,p_j}^T)$$

O(mnr) for single V-epoch (blockwise partial SVDs)
 Factor fitting

Comparison

- \blacktriangleright one iteration for ALS: approximately minimizing over B and then over C
- ▶ one iteration for BCD: one V-epoch

Comparison

- discrete Gauss transform matrix
- m = 5000 and n = 7000, L = 14, and $r_1 = \cdots = r_{14} = 5$



Outline

Multilevel low rank matrices

Factor fitting

Rank allocation

Hierarchy fitting

Variations

Conclusions

Rank allocation

▶ fix hierarchical partition

• optimize over the factors B and C and ranks r_1, \ldots, r_L s.t. $r_1 + \cdots + r_L = r$

$$R = P^T A Q - \sum_{j \neq l} \mathbf{blkdiag}(B_{j,1} C_{j,1}^T, \dots, B_{j,p_j} C_{j,p_j}^T)$$



$$R = P^T A Q - \sum_{j \neq l} \mathbf{blkdiag}(B_{j,1} C_{j,1}^T, \dots, B_{j,p_j} C_{j,p_j}^T)$$

incrementing rank allocated to level l by 1, decreases the Frobenius norm squared error by

$$\delta_l^+ = \sum_{k=1}^{p_l} \sigma_{r_l+1}^2(R_{l,k})$$

 \blacktriangleright decrementing rank allocated to level l by 1, increases Frobenius norm squared error by

$$\delta_l^- = \sum_{k=1}^{p_l} \sigma_{r_l}^2(R_{l,k})$$

$$i, j = \operatorname*{argmax}_{i \neq j} \left(\delta_i^+ - \delta_j^- \right)$$



$$i, j = \underset{i \neq j}{\operatorname{argmax}} \left(\delta_i^+ - \delta_j^- \right)$$



$$i, j = \underset{i \neq j}{\operatorname{argmax}} \left(\delta_i^+ - \delta_j^- \right)$$



$$i, j = \operatorname*{argmax}_{i \neq j} \left(\delta_i^+ - \delta_j^- \right)$$



- discrete Gauss transform matrix
- ▶ m = 5000, n = 7000, L = 14, and r = 28



Example: Asset covariance matrix

- \blacktriangleright 5000 asset returns over 300 days
- Global Industry Classification Standard (GICS)



Example: Asset covariance matrix

- ▶ m = n = 5000, r = 30, and L = 6
- ▶ compression ratio 80 : 1

Method	Error $(\%)$	Storage $(imes 10^5)$
LR	16.2	1.50
LR+D	15.4	1.50
HODLR	38.8	1.50
Monarch	18.0	1.56
MLR	15.4	1.50



Outline

Multilevel low rank matrices

Factor fitting

Rank allocation

Hierarchy fitting

Variations

Conclusions

Nested spectral dissection

- **1**. $\tilde{R}_1 = (A B_{1,1} C_{1,1}^T)$
- **2**. $R_1 = P_1^T \tilde{R}_1 Q_1$
 - permutations P_1^T , Q_1^T maximize the sum of squares of residuals within the two diagonal blocks

3.
$$\tilde{R}_2 = R_1 - \begin{bmatrix} B_{2,1}C_{2,1}^T & 0\\ 0 & B_{2,2}C_{2,2}^T \end{bmatrix}$$

- **4**. $R_2 = P_2^T \tilde{R}_1 Q_2$
 - permutations P₂^T, Q₂^T maximize the sum of squares of residuals within the four diagonal blocks, local for the two groups above

5.
$$\tilde{R}_3 = R_2 - \begin{bmatrix} B_{3,1}C_{3,1}^T & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & B_{3,2}C_{3,2}^T & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & B_{3,3}C_{3,3}^T & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & B_{3,4}C_{3,4}^T \end{bmatrix}$$

6. ...

Permutation

- \blacktriangleright represent the partition as a vector $x \in \{-1,1\}^n$
- maximize the sum of squares of residuals within the two groups

$$x^{T}Sx = \sum_{i,j} x_{i}x_{j}R_{ij}^{2} = \sum_{x_{i}=x_{j}} R_{ij}^{2} - \sum_{x_{i}\neq x_{j}} R_{ij}^{2} = 2\sum_{x_{i}=x_{j}} R_{ij}^{2} - \|R\|_{F}^{2}$$

maximum bisection problem

maximize
$$x^T S x$$

subject to $x \in \{-1, 1\}^n$, $\mathbf{1}^T x = 0$

Permutation

spectral partition

minimize
$$x^T(\operatorname{diag}(S\mathbf{1}) - S)x$$

subject to $||x||_2^2 = n$, $\mathbf{1}^T x = 0$

 $\blacktriangleright~e.g.,$ the sum of terms on the block diagonal increases by 80% after permutation

.



Example: Discrete Gauss transform matrix

▶
$$A_{ij} = e^{-\|t_i - s_j\|_2^2/h^2}$$
 and $s_j, t_i \in \mathbf{R}^d$
▶ $m = 5000, n = 7000, r = 28, L = 14, d = 3, and h = 0.2$

 \blacktriangleright compression ratio 100:1

Method	Error (%)	Storage $(\times 10^5)$
LR	41.8	3.36
HODLR	72.5	3.39
Monarch	44.0	3.60
MLR bottom	16.8	3.36
MLR uniform	21.8	3.36
MLR top	25.8	3.36



32

Hierarchy fitting

_

Outline

Multilevel low rank matrices

Factor fitting

Rank allocation

Hierarchy fitting

Variations

Conclusions

PSD MLR

symmetric positive semidefinite (PSD) MLR matrices

• each block $A_{l,k} = B_{l,k}B_{l,k}^T$ is PSD



▶ PSD MLR is a covariance matrix in multilevel factor model (MFM) [Aitkin+81]

$$\Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} F & D^{1/2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} F & D^{1/2} \end{bmatrix}^T = FF^T + D^T$$

Multilevel factor model

$$y = Fz + e$$

- ▶ $F \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times s}$ is structured factor loading matrix
- $z \in \mathbf{R}^s$ are factor scores, with $z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I_s)$
- $e \in \mathbf{R}^n$ are unique terms, with $e \sim \mathcal{N}(0, D)$

MLE-based fitting

$$\blacktriangleright \text{ observe } Y = \left[\begin{array}{c} y_1^T \\ \vdots \\ y_N^T \end{array} \right] \in \mathbf{R}^{N \times n}$$

 \blacktriangleright the log-likelihood based on N points

$$\ell(F, D; Y) = -\frac{nN}{2}\log(2\pi) - \frac{N}{2}\log\det(FF^{T} + D) - \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Tr}((FF^{T} + D)^{-1}Y^{T}Y)$$

▶ if also observe latent data $z_1, \ldots, z_N \in \mathbf{R}^s$, the log-likelihood simplifies

$$\ell(F, D; Y, Z) = -\frac{(n+s)N}{2}\log(2\pi) - \frac{N}{2}\log\det D - \frac{1}{2}\|D^{-1/2}(Y - ZF^T)\|_F^2 - \frac{1}{2}\|Z\|_F^2$$

EM algorithm

▶ E step: compute

$$Q(F, D; F^{0}, D^{0}) = \mathbf{E} \left(\ell(F, D; Y, Z) \mid Y, F^{0}, D^{0} \right)$$

 \blacktriangleright M step: find F^1 and D^1 using

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{maximize} & Q(F,D;F^0,D^0) \\ \mbox{subject to} & \left[\begin{array}{cc} F & D^{1/2} \end{array} \right] \mbox{ is the factor of PSD MLR} \end{array}$$

Recursive Sherman-Morrison-Woodbury

 $\Sigma = F_1 F_1^T + \dots + F_{L-1} F_{L-1}^T + D$

define

► PSD MLR

$$F_{(l+1)+} = \begin{bmatrix} F_{l+1} & \cdots & F_{L-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$M_0 = (F_{(l+1)+}F_{(l+1)+}^T + D)^{-1}F_l$$

$$H_l = M_0(I_{p_lr_l} + F_l^T M_0)^{-1/2}$$

SMW

$$(F_{l+}F_{l+}^T + D)^{-1} = (F_{(l+1)+}F_{(l+1)+}^T + D)^{-1} - H_l H_l^T$$

► inverse is MLR matrix

$$\Sigma^{-1} = -H_1 H_1^T - \dots - H_{L-1} H_{L-1}^T + D^{-1}$$

Efficient computation

- \blacktriangleright computation of MLR Σ^{-1}
 - time complexity $O(nr^2 + p_{L-1}r_{\max}r^2)$
 - extra memory used is $3nr + 2p_{L-1}r_{\max}r$
- EM iteration
 - time complexity $O(p_{L-1}nr^2 + nr^3 + p_{L-1}nrN + p_{L-1}r_{\max}r^2)$

Example: Asset covariance matrix

- ▶ n = 5000, L = 6, N = 300, and r = 30
- ▶ compression ratio 80 : 1
- log-likelihood for factor model (left) and multilevel factor model (right)



Example: Synthetic multilevel factor model

▶
$$n = 1000$$
, $L = 5$, $r = 15$, $s = 77$, SNR of 4

- ▶ compression ratio 30 : 1
- \blacktriangleright histograms over 100 runs each with sample size 200



Outline

Multilevel low rank matrices

Factor fitting

Rank allocation

Hierarchy fitting

Variations

Conclusions

Conclusions

Summary

- MLR matrices are natural extensions for low rank matrices
- fast linear algebra and storage compression
- Frobenius norm and MLE-based fitting methods
- model general hierarchical structures
- identify factors explaining data at global and local scales

Thanks!

Conclusions